[Grammar] Mood Tags An overview

### Robert Spence

LangSciTech Saarland University

17 frimaire CCXXIX / 7 December 2020
PRINTOUT AVAILABLE AT: http://www.spence.saar.de.
/courses/grammar/unit04a/moodtags-druck.pdf





Figure: A gazebo is a pavilion structure commonly found in parks, gardens, and spacious public areas. Gazebos are freestanding, roofed, and open on all sides; they provide shade, basic shelter, ornamental features in a landscape, and a place to rest. — Wikipedia

Structure

Structure

**Function** 

Structure

**Function** 

Comparison with French and German mood tags

Variables and problems

Structure

**Function** 

Comparison with French and German mood tags

Variables and problems

Typical exam questions

Structure

Function

Comparison with French and German mood tags

Variables and problems

Typical exam questions

Summary

Feedback

Sir Christopher Wren was building	a gazebo.
-----------------------------------	-----------

Mood		Residue		
Subject	Finite	Predicator	Complement	

Sir Christopher Wren	was	building	a gazebo.
Mood		Residue	
Subject	Finite	Predicator	Complement

Sir Christopher Wren	was	building	a gazebo,	wasn't	he?
Mood		Residue		Mood Tag	
Subject	Finite	Predicator	Complement	Tag	Tag
				Finite/	Subject
				Polarity	

Sir Christopher Wren	was	building	a gazebo.	
Mood		Residue		
Subject	Finite	Predicator	Complement	

Sir Christopher Wren	was	building	a gazebo,	wasn't	he?	
Mood	Mood		Residue		Mood Tag	
Subject	Finite	Predicator	Complement	Tag	Tag	
				Finite/	Subject	
				Polarity		

Sir Christopher Wren	was	building	a gazebo.
Mood	Residue		
Subject	Finite	Predicator	Complement

Sir Christopher Wren	was	building	a gazebo,	wasn't	he?
Mood		Residue		Mood Tag	
Subject	Finite	Predicator	Complement	Tag Finite/ Polarity	Tag Subject

 A way of slightly modifying the speech function of an utterance (e.g. making a statement less absolute, more negotiable.... cf MODALITY!)

- A way of slightly modifying the speech function of an utterance (e.g. making a statement less absolute, more negotiable.... cf MODALITY!)
- A way of inviting your addressee to respond to what you are saying

- A way of slightly modifying the speech function of an utterance (e.g. making a statement less absolute, more negotiable.... cf MODALITY!)
- A way of inviting your addressee to respond to what you are saying
- A way of letting your addressee know what response you expect
   (!)

- A way of slightly modifying the speech function of an utterance (e.g. making a statement less absolute, more negotiable.... cf MODALITY!)
- A way of inviting your addressee to respond to what you are saying
- A way of letting your addressee know what response you expect
   (!)
  - "You didn't INTEND to kill him, did you?"

- A way of slightly modifying the speech function of an utterance (e.g. making a statement less absolute, more negotiable.... cf MODALITY!)
- A way of inviting your addressee to respond to what you are saying
- A way of letting your addressee know what response you expect
   (!)
  - "You didn't INTEND to kill him, did you?"
  - -"NO!"



• French:

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr?

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder?

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder? / ..., gell?

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder? / ..., gell?
- English:

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder? / ..., gell?
- English:
  - ... , didn't you?

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder? / ..., gell?
- English:
  - ..., didn't you?
  - ..., have they?

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder? / ..., gell?
- English:
  - ..., didn't you?
  - ..., have they?
  - ..., shall we?

- French:
  - ..., n'est-ce pas?
- German:
  - ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder? / ..., gell?
- English:
  - ..., didn't you?
  - ..., have they?
  - ..., shall we?
  - ..., won't he?

```
• French:
```

```
• ..., n'est-ce pas?
```

#### • German:

```
• ..., nicht wahr? / ..., oder? / ..., gell?
```

#### English:

```
• ..., didn't you?
```

SPEECH FUNCTION

• SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement,

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command,

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion,

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag
  - rising?

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag
  - rising?
  - falling?

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag
  - rising?
  - falling?
- N.B.: There can be problems with

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag
  - rising?
  - falling?
- N.B.: There can be problems with
  - the underlying SPEECH FUNCTION

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag
  - rising?
  - falling?
- N.B.: There can be problems with
  - the underlying speech function
  - the Subject

- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag
  - rising?
  - falling?
- N.B.: There can be problems with
  - the underlying speech function
  - the Subject
  - the Finite



- SPEECH FUNCTION (vs. grammatical MOOD)
  - Statement, Command, Suggestion, Offer
- Polarity of Tag
  - same?
  - different?
- Intonation of Tag
  - rising?
  - falling?
- N.B.: There can be problems with
  - the underlying speech function
  - the Subject
  - the Finite
  - the Polarity



Statement

• Statement (+ Request for confirmation)

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo.

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo!

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you?

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you?

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer (+ Request for acceptance)

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer (+ Request for acceptance)
  - I'll build you a gazebo!

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer (+ Request for acceptance)
  - I'll build you a gazebo! + shall I?

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer (+ Request for acceptance)
  - I'll build you a gazebo! + shall I?
- Suggestion

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer (+ Request for acceptance)
  - I'll build you a gazebo! + shall I?
- Suggestion (+ Request for participation)

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer (+ Request for acceptance)
  - I'll build you a gazebo! + shall I?
- Suggestion (+ Request for participation)
  - Let's build a gazebo!

- Statement (+ Request for confirmation)
  - Sir Christopher Wren built a gazebo. + didn't he?
- Command (+ Request for compliance)
  - Build a gazebo! + will you? / won't you? / ...
- Offer (+ Request for acceptance)
  - I'll build you a gazebo! + shall I?
- Suggestion (+ Request for participation)
  - Let's build a gazebo! + shall we?

# Variables (2): POLARITY

# Variables (2): POLARITY

• Speech functions other than Statement are special cases: we'll deal with them separately!

# Variables (2): POLARITY

- Speech functions other than Statement are special cases: we'll deal with them separately!
- For Statements:

- Speech functions other than Statement are special cases: we'll deal with them separately!
- For Statements:
  - Polarity of statement can be positive or negative

- Speech functions other than Statement are special cases: we'll deal with them separately!
- For Statements:
  - Polarity of statement can be positive or negative
  - Polarity of tag can be the same or different

- Speech functions other than Statement are special cases: we'll deal with them separately!
- For Statements:
  - Polarity of statement can be positive or negative
  - Polarity of tag can be the same or different
    - He built a gazebo, didn't he?

- Speech functions other than Statement are special cases: we'll deal with them separately!
- For Statements:
  - Polarity of statement can be positive or negative
  - Polarity of tag can be the same or different
    - He built a gazebo, didn't he?
    - He built a gazebo, did he?

- Speech functions other than Statement are special cases: we'll deal with them separately!
- For Statements:
  - Polarity of statement can be positive or negative
  - Polarity of tag can be the same or different
    - He built a gazebo, didn't he?
    - He built a gazebo, did he?

• For Statements:

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo,

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he?

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès.

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
    - He built a gazèbo,

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
    - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he.

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
    - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès.

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
    - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
    - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
    - He didn't build a gazèbo,

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
    - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
    - He didn't build a gazèbo, díd he?

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'

- Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
  - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
  - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
  - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, díd he? Nò.

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'
  - Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
    - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
    - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
    - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
    - He didn't build a gazèbo, díd he? Nò. (90 % certain.)

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'

- Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
  - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
  - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
  - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, díd he? Nò. (90 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo,

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'

- Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
  - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
  - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
  - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, díd he? Nò. (90 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, dìd he.

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'

- Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
  - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
  - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
  - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, díd he? Nò. (90 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, did he. Nò.

- For Statements:
  - Intonation of statement is normally 'falling'

- Intonation of tag is either 'rising' or 'falling'
  - Statement, Tag Expected answer (How certain?)
  - He built a gazèbo, dídn't he? Yès. (90 % certain.)
  - He built a gazèbo, dìdn't he. Yès. (99 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, díd he? Nò. (90 % certain.)
  - He didn't build a gazèbo, d'id he. No. (99 % certain.)

• There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:
  - "Shall I build you a gazebo?"

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:
  - "Shall I build you a gazebo?"
    - is grammatically 'interrogative'

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:
  - "Shall I build you a gazebo?"
    - is grammatically 'interrogative', but
    - is really an Offer

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:
  - "Shall I build you a gazebo?"
    - is grammatically 'interrogative', but
    - is really an Offer (Response: "Oh yes please!")

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:
  - "Shall I build you a gazebo?"
    - is grammatically 'interrogative', but
    - is really an Offer (Response: "Oh yes please!"), not a Question

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:
  - "Shall I build you a gazebo?"
    - is grammatically 'interrogative', but
    - is really an Offer (Response: "Oh yes please!"), not a Question (\*Response: \*"Yes you shall.")

#### Problems (1): Underlying SPEECH FUNCTION

- There is *no one-to-one correspondence* between
  - grammatical MOOD categories, and
  - semantic/pragmatic speech function categories
- Example:
  - "Shall I build you a gazebo?"
    - is grammatically 'interrogative', but
    - is really an Offer (Response: "Oh yes please!"), not a Question (\*Response: \*"Yes you shall.")
- Always identify the underlying speech function!!

• Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of Gender is problematic!

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat,

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants,

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out,

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge,

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?
  - Beware of "grammatical metaphor"!

- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?
  - Beware of "grammatical metaphor"!
    - I think it's going to rain,



- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?
  - Beware of "grammatical metaphor"!
    - I think it's going to rain, isn't it?



- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?
  - Beware of "grammatical metaphor"!
    - I think it's going to rain, isn't it? (NOT: don't I?)



- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?
  - Beware of "grammatical metaphor"!
    - I think it's going to rain, isn't it? (NOT: don't I?)
    - John thinks it's going to rain,



- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?
  - Beware of "grammatical metaphor"!
    - I think it's going to rain, isn't it? (NOT: don't I?)
    - John thinks it's going to rain, doesn't he?



- Which pronoun to choose for the Tagsubject?
  - the English system of GENDER is problematic!
    - The cat always sat on the mat, didn't she?
    - The ant crawled up your pants, didn't it?
  - the English system of NUMBER can be a problem, too!
    - No one rang while I was out, did they?
  - what about "there"?
    - There's some milk in the fridge, isn't there?
- What is the *real* Subject of your Statement?
  - Beware of "grammatical metaphor"!
    - I think it's going to rain, isn't it? (NOT: don't I?)
    - John thinks it's going to rain, doesn't he? (NOT: isn't it?)



• Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo,

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos,

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo,

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo, didn't he?

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo, didn't he?
- You need to know the PROCESS TYPE:

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo, didn't he?
- You need to know the PROCESS TYPE:
  - He always has sandwiches for lunch,

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo, didn't he?
- You need to know the PROCESS TYPE:
  - He always has sandwiches for lunch, doesn't he?

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo, didn't he?
- You need to know the PROCESS TYPE:
  - He always has sandwiches for lunch, doesn't he?
  - He always eats sandwiches for lunch,

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo, didn't he?
- You need to know the PROCESS TYPE:
  - He always has sandwiches for lunch, doesn't he?
  - He always eats sandwiches for lunch, doesn't he?

## Problems (3): Finite

- Sometimes the Finite is already in the Statement:
  - Christopher was building a gazebo, wasn't he?
- With [simple present] and [simple past], it isn't:
  - Christopher builds gazebos, doesn't he?
  - Christopher built a gazebo, didn't he?
- You need to know the PROCESS TYPE:
  - He always has sandwiches for lunch, doesn't he?
  - He always eats sandwiches for lunch, doesn't he?
  - i.e., it's [material] not [relational]!

• Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly ever eats sandwiches for lunch,

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ...,

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, does he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... ,

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, does he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, does he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked,

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably not' + 'that pudding will ever be cooked,

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably <u>not</u>' + 'that pudding will ever be cooked, will it?

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably not' + 'that pudding will ever be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably' + 'that pudding will not ever be cooked,

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably not' + 'that pudding will ever be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably' + 'that pudding will not ever be cooked, will it?

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, does he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably <u>not</u>' + 'that pudding will ever be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably' + 'that pudding will <u>not</u> ever be cooked, will it?
- i.e. TagPolarity is 'reversed':



- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably <u>not</u>' + 'that pudding will ever be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably' + 'that pudding will <u>not</u> ever be cooked, will it?
- i.e. TagPolarity is 'reversed': Statement = negative,

- Statement looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
  - Adverb looks [positive] but is really [negative]:
    - He hardly èver eats sandwiches for lunch, does he.
    - = He almost nèver eats ... , dòes he.
    - = He almost always dòesn't eat ... , dòes he.
  - Negative polarity is often transferred from the proposition to the modality:
    - I don't believe that pudding ever will be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably <u>not</u>' + 'that pudding will ever be cooked, will it?
    - 'Probably' + 'that pudding will <u>not</u> ever be cooked, will it?
- i.e. TagPolarity is 'reversed': Statement = negative, Tag = positive

• Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left, isn't there?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left, isn't there?
  - He ought to have excused himself before he left the table,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left, isn't there?
  - He ought to have excused himself before he left the table, óughtn't he?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left, isn't there?
  - He ought to have excused himself before he left the table, óughtn't he?
  - He can't possibly have known we were planning to skip class,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left, isn't there?
  - He ought to have excused himself before he left the table, óughtn't he?
  - He can't possibly have known we were planning to skip class, cán he?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the factory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left, isn't there?
  - He ought to have excused himself before he left the table, óughtn't he?
  - He can't possibly have known we were planning to skip class, can he?
  - Nobody had any problems with the gràmmar exam,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - The manager's left the fàctory already, hásn't he?
  - I think there's some milk left, isn't there?
  - He ought to have excused himself before he left the table, oughtn't he?
  - He can't possibly have known we were planning to skip class, can he?
  - Nobody had any problems with the gràmmar exam, díd they?

• Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French propòsal,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French propòsal, will it?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French proposal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French proposal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school, díd he?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French proposal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school, díd he?
  - I don't suppose you could lend me your càr,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French proposal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school, díd he?
  - I don't suppose you could lend me your càr, cóuld you?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French proposal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school, díd he?
  - I don't suppose you could lend me your càr, cóuld you?
  - Let's have a party this weekend,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French propòsal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school, díd he?
  - I don't suppose you could lend me your càr, could you?
  - Let's have a party this weekend, shall we?

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French propòsal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school, díd he?
  - I don't suppose you could lend me your càr, could you?
  - Let's have a party this weekend, shall we?
  - I'll be guided by your wishes then,

- Here the MoodTag has rising intonation:
  - Surely the United States won't agree to the French propòsal, will it?
  - He never used to skip classes at school, díd he?
  - I don't suppose you could lend me your càr, could you?
  - Let's have a party this weekend, shall we?
  - I'll be guided by your wishes then, sháll I?

Typical exam questions (1) Typical exam questions (2) Typical exam questions (3) Typical exam questions (4)

• Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed, wòn't you.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed, wòn't you.
  - The President will know mother has two bravery medals alrèady,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed, wòn't you.
  - The President will know mother has two bravery medals already, won't he.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed, wòn't you.
  - The President will know mother has two bravery medals already, won't he.
  - Plèase don't forget to feed the cat before you leave the house,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed, wòn't you.
  - The President will know mother has two bravery medals already, won't he.
  - Plèase don't forget to feed the cat before you leave the house, will you.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed, wòn't you.
  - The President will know mother has two bravery medals already, won't he.
  - Plèase don't forget to feed the cat before you leave the house, will you.
  - She's got a brother in Amèrica if I remember rightly,



- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - He hardly èver has sandwiches for lunch, dòes he.
  - Plèase remember to put out the garbage before you go to bed, wòn't you.
  - The President will know mother has two bravery medals already, won't he.
  - Plèase don't forget to feed the cat before you leave the house, will you.
  - She's got a brother in Amèrica if I remember rightly, hàsn't she.



• Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD, hàs she.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD, hàs she.
  - But Boris Johnson would never have agreed to quit voluntàrily,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD, hàs she.
  - But Boris Johnson would never have agreed to quit voluntàrily, would he.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD, hàs she.
  - But Boris Johnson would never have agreed to quit voluntàrily, would he.
  - Occasionally one can simply be unlucky,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD, hàs she.
  - But Boris Johnson would never have agreed to quit voluntàrily, would he.
  - Occasionally one can simply be unlucky, can't one.

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD, hàs she.
  - But Boris Johnson would never have agreed to quit voluntàrily, would he.
  - Occasionally one can simply be unlucky, can't one.
  - There's never been an earthquake in Saarbrücken,

- Here the MoodTag has falling intonation:
  - I wouldn't say he's the worst teacher we've ever had, though, is he.
  - I'll bet she hasn't got the new Taylor Swift CD, hàs she.
  - But Boris Johnson would never have agreed to quit voluntàrily, would he.
  - Occasionally one can simply be unlucky, can't one.
  - There's never been an èarthquake in Saarbrücken, hàs there.

• Identify the underlying speech function

- Identify the underlying SPEECH FUNCTION
  - If it's a Statement, we'll assume that the TagPolarity is reversed; but what about the intonation?

- Identify the underlying SPEECH FUNCTION
  - If it's a Statement, we'll assume that the TagPolarity is reversed; but what about the intonation?
- Identify the real Subject and choose the right TagSubject pronoun (GENDER? NUMBER? "there"?)

- Identify the underlying SPEECH FUNCTION
  - If it's a Statement, we'll assume that the TagPolarity is reversed; but what about the intonation?
- Identify the real Subject and choose the right TagSubject pronoun (GENDER? NUMBER? "there"?)
- Choose the right TagFinite (PROCESS TYPE? TENSE?)

- Identify the underlying SPEECH FUNCTION
  - If it's a Statement, we'll assume that the TagPolarity is reversed; but what about the intonation?
- Identify the real Subject and choose the right TagSubject pronoun (GENDER? NUMBER? "there"?)
- Choose the right TagFinite (PROCESS TYPE? TENSE?)
- Identify the real Polarity (be careful with those special adverbs and with those 'metaphorical' expressions of MODALITY!)

#### Feedback

- Did you find this treatment of Mood Tags useful?
  - was it too simple?
  - was it too abstract?
- Did you find the use of data-projector slides as a teaching medium useful?
  - was the experience too "passive"?
  - could the same results have been achieved using other means?